#### TOP SECRET

)	5	Y	1	
•	. つ	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	- 1	

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	
FROM	:	

SUBJECT: Comments on Joint Counterinsurgency Concept and Doctrinal Guidance (Joint Chiefs Memorandum of 5 April)

1. We have several troubles with this paper, and a list of specifics appears below. Essentially, however, our principal source of concern stems from what seems to be one primary conceptual deficiency; namely a lack of precise and realistic analysis about what the counterinsurgency problem is and, equally important, what it is not. Putting it another way, this paper is on pretty solid ground and going in the right direction in most of its proposals for improving the techniques of US counterinsurgency efforts in cases where Communist-backed or led counterinsurgency is manifestly the problem; Laos and South Vietnam, of course, being the two examples. But in how many other situations does or will the guidance apply? And if it does not apply, there are dangers for the US in an unrealistic effort to make it apply

2. This line of criticism is not intended to suggest that there are no other potential or incipient

Approved For Release 2004/09/03: CIA-RDP80B01083A000100120016-5

#### TOP SECRET

Communist-backed counterinsurgency situations around the world. Of course there are. But the plain truth is that there are many more situations where turmoil and even revolutionary violence, often but not invariably of a leftist nature, is probably in the cards for the future but which do not lend themselves to the school solutions advanced here.

- 3. A variety of governments, some of them pro-US, will confront such challenges, and decisions will accordingly have to be made by the US. Yet this document simply does not offer the kind of rigorous and precise thought and analysis about where real US interests lie, and what real US capabilities are or can be made to be which will be required if the US effort is to be directed in the right places and if waste or worse is to be avoided.
- 4. More specifically, this document, in our view, addresses itself too exclusively to the clear case/ where a government confronted with Communist-back insurgency calls for (or can be persuaded to accept) US assistance in suppressing the threat. In terms of US decisions to be made, these are the comparatively easy cases, and the various proposals for making our action more effective

# TOP SECRET

25X1

coordination, educational and training
efforts on Communism and how to beat it, use of military
personnel and resources in certain civic action programs,
and all the rest of it.) So far so good.

- problems the US will face in this general regard are not nearly so clear-cut. They are far more likely to involve close and difficult decisions about dissident or revolutionary movements which may indeed be actual or potential cases of insurgency but which are something other than Communist-led; in many cases "wars of liberation" in Khrushchev's phrase, or simply factions of "outs" contending against the "ins" of the regime. The fact that the Bloc may support such movements, or that they are, more often than not, to the left of the incumbent government, should not thereby in all cases constitute a prima facie case for the US to oppose them by rallying to the support of the incumbent regime.
- 6. Yet by setting forth a number of principles derived from experience in Laos, or Vietnam, and extending these to apply across-the-board to counterinsurgency

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP80B01083A000100120016-5

# TOP SECRET

problems around the world, this document is too susceptible to interpretation as an exhortation to active US counterinsurgency efforts, according to rather set formulae, in a variety of situations which are far more complex than suggested therein.

- 7. In many conceivable insurgency situations, it is doubtful that US interests would be best served by attempting to intervene -- even though friendly local officials were pressing hard for such a step. In certain others, it is doubtful that open US intervention would be effective, unless attempted on such a scale and nature as to make the costs, risks and disadvantages outweigh the possible benefits. And in still other cases, where the US might want to intervene and consider it worth the effort, the local government might not. These are the tough decisions, and it is, in our view, a deficiency of this paper that it pays insufficient attention to them.
- 8. Admittedly, this document is not a master plan for country-by-country policy or contingency planning, nor a recommendation that the US jump in with both feet in all possible situations. As a statement of doctural and conceptual guidance, however, it is certainly subject

Approved For Release 2004/09/03: CIA-RDP80B01083A000100120016-5

#### TOP SECRET

to something like this interpretation, and could lead its readers to a pretty unsophisticated approach to the complexities of foreseeable problems.

9. So much for comment on general concept and tone. The more specific criticisms which follow are, for the most, variations on the theme above:

# (a) Pages 6 - 7.

Most of these sub-paragraphs make
their case by exaggeration and to accept them as a fair
statement of the problems we face is to invite pretty
unsophisticated thinking or worse. For example, the
Communists don't always, or even very often clandestinely
block democratic reforms. They are not the only ones
pressing for state-controlled economies. They do not go
in for assassination this much. There are plenty of other
types who oppose Western-aligned regional defense pacts, etc.
This whole section stands as a gross oversimplification of
the problem, an invitation to the already too prevalent
mode of thinking that a wide variety of nationalist and
neutralist elements, being in disagreement with the US
in one respect or another, may or should be the targets
of US counterinsurgency planning.

# TOP SECRET

# (b) Page 10

acknowledge that the US is not the "guardian of the status quo" in an era when change is going to occur in much of the world whether the US opposes it or not.

In many cases, however, it is questionable whether the US can -- or should try -- to be "custodian" of the development process. In many cases, the US is not in a position to exercise this kind of responsibility, certainly not through the use of the US military. Use of the phrase "custodian" implies that it is, and is simply an invitation to trouble and frustration in certain instances.

This caveat applies to the "national building" and "civic action" aspects of the paper generally, though of course the military may do good along these lines in certain cases, and other agencies in more cases. The point is, this kind of exercise is not, usually, an aspect of counterinsurgency and to think of it as such is to invite trouble in more cases than not.

Approved For Release 2004/95/00 CIA-RDP80B04083A000100120016-5 UNCLASSIFIED when blank — TO. ECRET when attached to Top Secret Do to SECRET when filled in form is detached from controlled document. .ent - Automatically downgraded CONTROL AND COVER SHEET FOR TOP SECRET DOCUMENT REGISTRY DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION SOURCE CIA CONTROL NO. DOC. NO. DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED DOC. DATE COPY NO. LOGGED BY NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS ATTENTION: This form will be placed on top of and attached to each Top Secret document received by the Central Intelligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document until such time as it is downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret matter is limited to Top Secret Control personnel and those individuals whose official duties relate to the matter. Top Secret Control Officers who receive and/or release the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custody in the left-hand columns provided. Each individual who sees the Top Secret document will sign and indicate the date of handling in the right-hand columns. REFERRED TO RELEASED RECE IVED SEEN BY OFFICE OFFICE/DIV. SIGNATURE DATE DATE SIGNATURE DATE 7/000 1 MAY 1962 NOTICE OF DETACHMENT: When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the appropriate spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record. DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) DOWNGRADED DESTROYED BY (Signature) ΤO TO WITNESSED BY (Signature) BY (Signature) BY (Signature) OFFICE OFFICE DATE OFFICE DATE <del>Approved Fdr Release 2004/09/03 | CIA RDP80B01083A009100120016</del>

TOP SECRET

26 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

(40)

25X1

25X1

25 April 1962

Operational Intelligence

#### 1. Mission:

To provide intelligence support to selected high priority CIA operations by:

- a. Producing current Order of Battle intelligence on insurgent groups and selected counterinsurgent forces in appropriate areas of the world.
- b. Producing ad hoc intelligence reports based on existing research designed to specific immediate operational requirements.
- c. Levying requirements on other parts of the DD/I areas or other parts of the intelligence community for the collection of information and the production of ad hoc intelligence reports that do not have immediate deadlines and which can best be collected or produced by existing intelligence elements. Coordinating the production of such reports.
- d. Providing immediate intelligence support to advance echelons of CIA operations.
- e. Conducting or arranging for long term research into problems that may require future action by CIA.

Approved For Release 2004/09/03: CIA-RDP80B01083A000100120016-5

